990.1119 CON

Appl. No. 09/303,587

Petition for Revival dated January 24, 2005

eply to Communication Re: Appeal Nov. 3, 2004



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl./ Serial No.:

09/303,587

Confirmation No. 8567

Application of:

Mika VILJANMAA, et al.

Filed:

May 3, 1999

Examiner:

Huynh, Louis K.

TC/AU.:

3721

Docket No.:

990.1119 CON

For:

METHOD AND ARRANGEMENT FOR **COMPUTING AND REGULATING THE** DISTRIBUTION OF A LINEAR LOAD IN A

MULTI-NIP CALENDER

PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT **ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)**

Attention: Office of Petitions

Mail Stop Petition

January 24, 2005

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Applicant hereby petitions the Commissioner for Patents for the revival of the aboveidentified patent application which was abandoned unintentionally under 37 CFR 1.137(b).

I hereby certify that this correspondence and/or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" on January 24, 2005.

01/31/2005 HALI11

00000004 09303587

01 FC:1453

1500.00 OP

1

Appl. No. 09/303,587 990.1119 CON

Petition for Revival dated Jan. ___, 2005

Reply to Communication Re: Appeal Nov. 3, 2004

The patent application became abandoned because the Request for Continued Examination

(RCE) filed on October 7, 2004 was not accompanied by a submission as required by 37 CFR 1.114.

A Communication Re: Appeal was sent on November 3, 2004 setting forth the above, that the appeal

was dismissed, and that the application was abandoned because there are no allowed claims. A

formal Notice of Abandonment was never received by the Applicant.

Note: A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) requires the following:

(1) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional;

(2) Reply to Office Action;

(3) Terminal Disclaimer with a disclaimer fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(d) - required

for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995, and for all design

applications; and

(4) Petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m).

2

990.1119 CON

Appl. No. 09/303,587

Petition for Revival dated Jan. ___, 2005

Reply to Communication Re: Appeal Nov. 3, 2004

1. Statement

The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the

filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.

The Applicant notes that this petition filed pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) is being filed within

one (1) year of the date of abandonment of the application. In addition, the petition is being filed

within three (3) months of the date of when the applicant was first notified that the application was

abandoned.

2. Reply to Office Action

A Response to Office Action is enclosed herewith. The reply is in response to the final office

action dated September 2, 2003, and should have been submitted with the RCE filed on October 4,

2004. Failing to file a Response to Office Action with the RCE was an inadvertent mistake and

unintentional. The Applicant submits that the enclosed Response to Office Action is a reply

sufficient to have avoided abandonment, had such reply been timely filed.

3. Terminal Disclaimer with disclaimer fee

Since this utility patent application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer

is required.

3

Appl. No. 09/303,587 990.1119 CON

Petition for Revival dated Jan. ___, 2005

Reply to Communication Re: Appeal Nov. 3, 2004

4. <u>Petition Fee</u>

A Fee of <u>\$1500.00</u> for the Petition to revive an unintentionally abandoned application is enclosed herewith.

990.1119 CON

Appl. No. 09/303,587

Petition for Revival dated Jan. ___, 2005

Reply to Communication Re: Appeal Nov. 3, 2004

This petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) is accompanied by the requisite reply and fee both attached herewith.

In the event that any other extensions and/or fees are required for the entry of this petition, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is specifically authorized to charge any additional fees to Deposit Account No. 50-0518 in the name of Steinberg & Raskin, P.C.

An early and favorable action on the merits is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted, STEINBERG & RASKIN, P.C.

Dona C. Edwards

Reg. No. 42,507

Steinberg & Raskin, P.C. 1140 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 (212) 768–3800